

LETTER

Deeply conserved susceptibility in a multi-host, multi-parasite system

Lisa N. Barrow,^{1,2*}
 Sabrina M. McNew,^{1,2,3}
 Nora Mitchell,²
 Spencer C. Galen,^{1,4,5,6}
 Holly L. Lutz,^{3,7,8} Heather Skeen,^{7,9}
 Thomas Valqui,¹⁰
 Jason D. Weckstein^{5,6,7} and
 Christopher C. Witt^{1,2*}

Abstract

Variation in susceptibility is ubiquitous in multi-host, multi-parasite assemblages, and can have profound implications for ecology and evolution in these systems. The extent to which susceptibility to parasites is phylogenetically conserved among hosts can be revealed by analysing diverse regional communities. We screened for haemosporidian parasites in 3983 birds representing 40 families and 523 species, spanning ~ 4500 m elevation in the tropical Andes. To quantify the influence of host phylogeny on infection status, we applied Bayesian phylogenetic multilevel models that included a suite of environmental, spatial, temporal, life history and ecological predictors. We found evidence of deeply conserved susceptibility across the avian tree; host phylogeny explained substantial variation in infection status, and results were robust to phylogenetic uncertainty. Our study suggests that susceptibility is governed, in part, by conserved, latent aspects of anti-parasite defence. This demonstrates the importance of deep phylogeny for understanding present-day ecological interactions.

Keywords

Andes, Apicomplexa, avian malaria, comparative methods, *Haemoproteus*, Haemosporida, *Leucocytozoon*, Peru, phylogenetic signal, *Plasmodium*.

Ecology Letters (2019) 22: 987–998

INTRODUCTION

Susceptibility to parasites can affect the fitness of individuals, the structure of communities and the evolutionary success of lineages (Hatcher *et al.* 2006). Therefore, the causes of variation in susceptibility among hosts are of paramount importance to ecology and evolution. Susceptibility, defined broadly as ‘proneness to infection’, depends on an organism’s entire set of defences including avoidance, resistance and tolerance. Host individuals may vary in susceptibility to parasites because of individual differences in exposure or defensive ability (Gaunt 1995; Barrett *et al.* 2009; Savage *et al.* 2011; Atkinson *et al.* 2013). Host species also vary in susceptibility (Power & Mitchell 2004; Searle *et al.* 2011), and interspecific variation can be explained, in part, by variation in aspects of life history, morphology, environment and behaviour (Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004; Garamszegi & Møller 2012; Johnson *et al.* 2012; Lutz *et al.* 2015). Additional interspecific variation may be explained by unique ‘species effects’ (e.g. Pulgarín-R *et al.* 2018; Ricklefs *et al.* 2018), attributable to uniquely derived species traits, such as molecular genetic aspects of the immune system (Martin *et al.* 2005; Ellison *et al.* 2015).

The extent to which susceptibility to parasites shows a conserved pattern of evolution across the host phylogeny has been addressed in few systems (e.g. *Drosophila*-RNA viruses – Longdon *et al.* 2011; plant pathogens – Gilbert & Parker 2016; amphibian fungal pathogens – Greenberg *et al.* 2017). If susceptibility is conserved, it would indicate that real-time ecological interactions are partly contingent on deep-time evolutionary history. Parasites can affect host biogeography, macroevolution and community assembly (Holt 1977; van Riper *et al.* 1986; Hatcher *et al.* 2006; Bradley *et al.* 2008), and it follows that conserved susceptibility could constrain phylogenetic community structure and contribute to conserved rates of speciation, extinction or secondary sympatry (Holt & Bonsall 2017). From a broad perspective, parasite clades tend to have phylogenetic limits to their host ranges. For example, haemosporidian parasite genera, the focal system of this study, tend to infect vertebrate hosts in a single class or subclass (Galen *et al.* 2018). Paired host and parasite clades can form dynamic multi-host, multi-parasite assemblages, with host-parasite linkages proliferating by host-switching (Ricklefs *et al.* 2004; Doña *et al.* 2018; Fecchio *et al.* 2018). Host-switching can occur across large phylogenetic gaps within these host and parasite clades (Anderson 2000; Beadell *et al.*

¹Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

²Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

³Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

⁴Sackler Institute for Comparative Genomics & Richard Gilder Graduate School, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024, USA

⁵Department of Ornithology, Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19103, USA

⁶Department of Biodiversity, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19103, USA

⁷Integrative Research Center, The Field Museum, Chicago, IL 60605, USA

⁸Department of Surgery, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

⁹Committee on Evolutionary Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

¹⁰Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (CORBIDI), Lima, Perú

*Correspondence: E-mails: lisabarrow01@gmail.com and cwitt@unm.edu

2009; Ricklefs *et al.* 2014; Suh *et al.* 2016), indicating deeply conserved compatibility. The key question we address in this paper concerns the extent to which host species exhibit phylogenetically conserved patterns of susceptibility within the multi-host, multi-parasite assemblage of avian haemosporidian parasites.

One possibility is that susceptibility is labile rather than phylogenetically conserved across the extent of multi-host, multi-parasite systems. Under this 'lability hypothesis', variation in susceptibility would be entirely attributable to host species, populations and individuals. There are at least four lines of supporting evidence for the lability hypothesis. First, temporal and spatial variation in avian haemosporidian parasite pressure is profound (Bennett & Cameron 1974; Merilä *et al.* 1995; Svensson-Coelho *et al.* 2013). Second, aspects of host ecological and behavioural niches tend to evolve quickly (Blomberg *et al.* 2003; Schreeg *et al.* 2010; Zhang *et al.* 2017), and these can have substantial effects on exposure to haemosporidian vectors (Garvin & Remsen 1997; Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004). Third, simple regulatory or structural genetic changes in the immune system can increase or eliminate susceptibility over short timescales, as suggested by rapid changes in host compatibility over a few generations (Woodworth *et al.* 2005; Decaestecker *et al.* 2007), and variation in bird-haemosporidian associations among adjacent island populations (Fallon *et al.* 2003, 2004, 2005; Ricklefs *et al.* 2011; Soares *et al.* 2017). Fourth, simple innate immune changes can occur in parallel between distantly related host lineages, with identical effects on susceptibility. Such a parallel change occurred in the sialic acid pathway of the ancestors of humans and owl monkeys, respectively, causing an eclectic phylogenetic distribution of susceptibility to the haemosporidian parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum* (Martin *et al.* 2005). In sum, the evidence for short-term and spatial variation in exposure and host defensive ability suggests that we should find no signal of phylogenetically conserved susceptibility across the host phylogeny.

Alternatively, we may expect phylogenetically conserved susceptibility in multi-host, multi-parasite systems either because of conserved host traits or phylogenetic constraints on parasite host range and host-switching. Many host traits that have previously been shown to affect interspecific variation in susceptibility to avian haemosporidian parasites also tend to show phylogenetic signal. These include embryonic development rates (Ricklefs 1992; Ricklefs *et al.* 2018), diet (Masello *et al.* 2018), nesting habits (Lutz *et al.* 2015) and environmental niche characteristics such as habitat and elevation (González *et al.* 2014). It remains to be adequately tested whether additional variation in susceptibility can be explained by the phylogenetic history of host species, even after taking other causes into account. Such a finding would imply that susceptibility itself is conserved, perhaps due to specific molecular genetic aspects of the host immune system, or other hidden causes. On the other hand, apparent variation in susceptibility among host species could simply be caused by variable numbers of compatible parasites, with higher prevalence expected for host species with richer haemosporidian parasite assemblages (Arriero & Møller 2008).

Infection status of individuals, or prevalence in populations, provides a useful index of susceptibility, particularly for diverse systems comprised mainly of previously unstudied or undescribed host-parasite combinations. Some evidence of phylogenetic signal or taxonomic clade effects on prevalence has been found previously for haemosporidian parasites (Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004; Svensson-Coelho *et al.* 2013; González *et al.* 2014; Waxman *et al.* 2014; Lutz *et al.* 2015; Fecchio *et al.* 2017b), but no previous analysis has estimated phylogenetic effects while taking other relevant predictors of infection into account. This approach is essential to understanding whether susceptibility is truly conserved or simply appears to be conserved because of shared environments or life history characteristics among related species.

Here, we quantified phylogenetic effects on infection status while taking into account environmental, spatial, temporal, individual and species-level variation that could contribute to infection risk. We surveyed haemosporidians from the tropical Andes and adjacent western Amazon basin where the avifauna is characterised by exceptional species richness, phylogenetic diversity and striking changes in community composition across elevations. Our survey included 40 host families, 523 host species, 3983 host individuals, 1678 haemosporidian infections and 144 localities, spanning ~7° of latitude, and ~4500 m in elevation. We used phylogenetic mixed models to explicitly estimate the proportion of variance that is attributable to phylogeny and species identity respectively. We found deeply conserved patterns of susceptibility to haemosporidian parasites across the avian tree, demonstrating that deep phylogeny matters to real-time ecological interactions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Avian sampling and individual specimen data

We sampled multipart museum specimens that were collected during integrative site inventories carried out in the Andes Mountains and adjacent lowlands (elevation range: 115–4,637 m; Fig. 1a), primarily between 2006 and 2011 (99.6% of samples, see Appendix S1 of Supporting Information), and in accordance with animal care regulations and appropriate permits. Specimens and tissues are housed primarily at the Museum of Southwestern Biology, the Field Museum of Natural History and el Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad. Specimen-related data are available on the ARCTOS database (arctosdb.org) and in Table S1. Elevation, latitude, longitude, sex, body mass and date were recorded for each specimen at the time of collection. To account for site variation in climate, we extracted 19 bioclimatic variables describing aspects of temperature and precipitation from the WorldClim database (Hijmans *et al.* 2005) based on the geographic coordinates for each specimen (Table S1).

Assigning infection status

For each bird, we determined infection status for all haemosporidian genera combined (overall infection), and for each genus separately (*Haemoproteus*, *Plasmodium* and *Leucocytozoon*). We extracted DNA from tissue or blood using




Figure 1 Summary of avian haemosporidian infection across Andean bird families. (a) Distribution of sample localities in Peru. Elevation is from the SRTM database with 90 m resolution, using the *raster* R package (Hijmans 2016). (b) Combined prevalence for *Haemoproteus* (including *Parahaemoproteus*; blue), *Plasmodium* (yellow) and *Leucocytozoon* (green) across well-sampled (≥ 15 individuals) bird families. Bar plots depicting the proportion of birds infected by each haemosporidian genus are stacked. Sample sizes are shown adjacent to bars. The tree is a least-squares consensus of 100 phylogeny subsets from BirdTree.org.

QIAGEN kits, and used nested PCR to amplify 478 bp of *cytb*, a mitochondrial gene (Hellgren *et al.* 2004; Waldenström *et al.* 2004; Galen & Witt 2014). PCR products were visualised on agarose gels to identify infected samples, which were then sequenced in both directions. To identify haemosporidian infections to genus and assign haplotypes, we compared them to the MalAvi database (Bensch *et al.* 2009).

Species-level ecological and life history traits

For each of the 523 host species, we compiled data for ecological and life history traits thought to influence haemosporidian infection status (Table S2). The predicted effects of these traits on haemosporidian infection have been discussed in detail previously (Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004; Svensson-Coelho *et al.* 2013; Lutz *et al.* 2015; Fecchio *et al.* 2017a). We obtained foraging stratum and relative abundance from the reference database published for Neotropical birds (Parker *et al.* 1996). Foraging stratum was converted to a continuous variable, with higher values indicating higher strata (1 = terrestrial, 9 = aerial). For relative abundance, we classified species into three categories: common (C), fairly common (F) or uncommon/rare (U). The remaining traits (nest type, nest height, plumage dimorphism, sociality, uniparental care, cooperative breeding, lekking and colonial nesting/roosting) were inferred from del Hoyo *et al.* (2018) and other secondary sources. We categorised nest type as either 'open' or 'closed' (including cavities, domes or nests in caves), and nest height as either 'ground', 'low' (≤ 3 m) or 'high' (> 3 m). Plumage dimorphism was classified as 'none', 'moderate' or 'striking'. We categorised sociality into 'solitary' (foraging alone or in pairs), 'family' (family groups only), 'single species' (larger groups of the same species) or 'flocking' (regularly occurring in mixed species flocks). Uniparental care, cooperative

breeding, lekking and colonial nesting/roosting were classified as either 'yes' or 'no'. When breeding information was unavailable, we inferred the state of these traits from related species by assigning the most common state from members of the same genus or family if there were no congeners.

Prevalence across the avian tree

We used 100 trees from BirdTree.org, using the backbone tree from Hackett *et al.* (2008), that represent the range of possible phylogenetic histories among the 523 bird species in our study. Details are described in Jetz *et al.* (2012). To visualise patterns of infection across the avian tree, we first generated a consensus tree using the *ls.consensus()* function in the R package *phytools* v. 0.6-00 (Revell 2012). For each host species, we calculated prevalence as the proportion of birds infected overall and by each haemosporidian genus. We mapped prevalence onto the tree using the *contMap()* function in *phytools*, which estimates the maximum likelihood ancestral states of continuous traits at internal nodes and interpolates the states along edges based on Felsenstein (1985). Estimates of prevalence have low accuracy with small sample sizes (Jovani & Tella 2006), therefore we visualised infection patterns across the phylogeny using species for which there were at least 10 samples (135 species from 17 families).

Phylogenetic models with repeated measurements

We used principal component analysis to summarise bioclimatic variables across the 144 unique sample localities. The first two PC axes explained 80.0% of the variation in temperature and precipitation and were included as predictor variables in models. Loadings suggested that axis 1 corresponds to increasing temperature across sites, hereafter called

'temperature', and axis 2 corresponds to decreasing precipitation, hereafter called 'aridity' (Table S3). To account for temporal variation, we included sampling month on a scale from 1 (January) to 12 (December), where larger values correspond approximately with the early breeding season (see Appendix S2). Continuous variables (temperature, aridity, elevation, latitude, sampling month, body mass and foraging stratum) were standardised to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one. For traits measured for multiple individuals within species, we accounted for multiple measurement effects following de Villemereuil & Nakagawa (2014). This approach uses within-group centring to separate each predictor into two components, one accounting for between-species variability and the other accounting for within-species variability. We calculated species means (between-species variability) and subtracted the mean value from individual observations (within-species differences) and included both components as predictors in models.

We built phylogenetic generalised linear multilevel models using two different Bayesian statistics packages in R: *MCMCglmm* (Hadfield 2010) and *brms* (Bürkner 2017). The packages differ in the core Bayesian algorithms they use and support different model types (reviewed in Mai & Zhang 2018), but both are capable of incorporating phylogenetic information into multilevel models and use Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling to obtain draws from posterior distributions. Additionally, *brms* can easily interface with the R package *loo* (Vehtari *et al.* 2017) to compute different information criteria. For both packages, we modelled individual bird infection status ($n = 3983$ birds) as a binary response (0 for uninfected, 1 for infected) separately for each of four different outcomes: the presence of overall haemosporidian infection, and infection for each of the three genera (*Haemoproteus*, *Plasmodium* and *Leucocytozoon*). For each model, predictor variables included the species-level factor predictors as well as the standardised (to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one) species means and within-species predictors for continuous individual-measured traits. These predictors encompass variation related to the environment (temperature, aridity, elevation, latitude), season (sampling month), individual (sex, body mass) or population (relative abundance) characteristics and life history and behaviour (foraging stratum, sociality, nest type, nest height, uniparental care, cooperative breeding, plumage dimorphism, lekking and colonial nesting/roosting).

For each response, we compared 10 models: (1) an intercept-only null model, (2) an intercept-only model with both species and phylogenetic random effects, (3) a model with all predictors and no random effects, (4) a model with all predictors and only a species random effect, (5) a model with all predictors and only a phylogenetic random effect, (6) a model with all predictors and both phylogenetic and species random effects, (7) a reduced-predictor model with no random effects, (8) a reduced model with only a species random effect, (9) a reduced model with only a phylogenetic random effect and (10) a reduced model with both phylogenetic and species random effects. The reduced models included only the predictors found to be important, that is, 95% credible intervals (CI) non-overlapping with zero, in the full models. The predictors

retained in the reduced models differed for each response, as reported in Results.

In *MCMCglmm*, we used the *MCMCglmm()* function with a 'categorical' family and ran the model across four chains for 200 000 iterations with a burn-in period of 100 000, thinned every 100 steps. We used default priors for the fixed effects, with priors of $V = 1$, $\nu = 0.02$ for both residual and random effect variances. In *brms*, we ran models using the *brm()* function with the 'Bernoulli' family and default priors. We ran four chains for 20 000 iterations with a burn-in period of 10 000, thinned every 10 steps, for a total of 4000 samples. To assess convergence, we visually examined traceplots and checked diagnostics (Appendix S2). In *brms*, we compared models using the widely applicable information criterion (WAIC, Watanabe 2010) values as well as approximate leave-one-out cross-validation information criterion based on the posterior likelihoods (LOOIC, Vehtari *et al.* 2017). We estimated fixed effects (means and 95% CI) from the posterior distributions for each predictor. To assess whether the spatial, temporal and phylogenetic dependence structures in our data were adequately modelled, we examined the Pearson residuals from *brms* (Appendix S2).

Phylogenetic signal estimates

Phylogenetic signal, or lambda (λ), was estimated from the models as the phylogenetic heritability described by Lynch (1991). Similar to heritability in quantitative genetics, phylogenetic signal can be estimated as the proportion of the total variance attributed to the phylogenetic variance. We estimated phylogenetic signal using the full and reduced models for infection overall and for each haemosporidian genus. We also estimated the proportion of the total variance attributed to host species, which accounts for unique aspects of the susceptibility of species that are not captured by the modelled species traits, or individual or environmental characteristics. In *MCMCglmm*, the mean and the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) of λ were calculated for each MCMC chain by dividing the phylogenetic variance-covariance (VCV) matrix by the sum of the phylogenetic, species and residual VCV matrices (Hadfield & Nakagawa 2010). In *brms*, phylogenetic signal was computed following the vignette and recommendations of P. Bürkner (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/brms/vignettes/brms_phylogenetics.html), using the 'hypothesis' method and substituting $\pi^2/3$ for the residual variance. We assessed the effect of phylogenetic uncertainty on our models and estimates by running *brms* models with 100 alternative trees (Appendix S2).

Parasite diversity and infection status

One possible explanation for variation in susceptibility is variation in the diversity of compatible parasites; hosts that can harbour more parasite species have been found to have higher prevalence (Arriero & Møller 2008). We tested diversity as a predictor of infection using *brms* and by estimating haemosporidian haplotype diversity for each host species. To estimate parasite diversity independent of host sample size and prevalence, we pruned the dataset to include only host

species with at least five sequenced infections. We used the `rarefy()` function in the *vegan* 2.5-2 R package to produce a rarefied haplotype diversity index for each host species. This predictor was standardised to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one and included in the *brms* and *MCMCglmm* models with the reduced host species dataset, using the same model structure and settings as the full models described above.

RESULTS

Infection status summary

We detected 1554 infected birds (39.0%), including 829 birds infected with *Haemoproteus* (20.8%), 355 with *Plasmodium* (8.9%) and 494 with *Leucocytozoon* (12.4%). Haemosporidian prevalence varied across the avian phylogeny (Figs 1b and 2). Avian families with the highest prevalence (> 50% of birds infected) included Columbidae and the oscine Passerine families Icteridae, Cardinalidae, Passerellidae, Turdidae and Thraupidae. We found higher prevalence in oscines compared to suboscines, and in certain hummingbird clades (brilliant and coquettes) compared to others (emeralds and hermits) (Fig. 2; Fig. S1; see Appendix S2).

Predictors of haemosporidian infection

Models that included phylogenetic and species random effects fit substantially better than models with no random effects (Table 1). The reduced-predictor models with both species and phylogenetic random effects had the lowest (best) WAIC and LOOIC scores for overall infection, *Haemoproteus* and *Leucocytozoon*. For *Plasmodium*, the reduced-predictor model with only a phylogenetic random effect had the lowest scores. We sought to quantify the proportions of variation attributed to phylogeny and species, respectively; thus, we report the results from the reduced-predictor models including both random effects for all responses.

Several predictors (foraging stratum, uniparental care, cooperative breeding, plumage dimorphism, lekking and sociality) were unimportant for any of the responses (i.e. the 95% CI overlapped with 0) and were removed to construct the reduced models. Parameter estimates from *MCMCglmm* and *brms* were highly consistent (Fig. 3, Fig. S2–S5), and the importance of predictors was robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (Table S5).

Aspects of climate, elevation and latitude were important for overall infection and for each haemosporidian genus. Overall infection probability increased with increasing temperature, aridity, elevation and sampling month (Fig. 3a). Host species that were less abundant (fairly common or uncommon) tended to be less infected than common species. Different species-level predictors were considered important for susceptibility to each haemosporidian genus (Fig. 3).

Haemoproteus infection increased slightly with latitude and sampling month and was lower for uncommon host species compared to common species (Fig. 3b). Species with open nests tended to have higher *Haemoproteus* infection compared to species with closed nests.

Plasmodium infection increased with temperature, aridity and within-species body mass (Fig. 3c). Male hosts had higher *Plasmodium* infection compared to females, and species with lower abundances (fairly common or uncommon) tended to be less infected than common species.

Leucocytozoon infection increased with increasing temperature and elevation respectively (Fig. 3d). *Leucocytozoon* infection was lower for males than females, higher for species with low nests (< 3 m) than those with ground nests and lower for colonial species than non-colonial species.

Phylogenetic signal in infection

Phylogenetic signal was important for all models; 95% CI of phylogenetic random effects did not overlap with 0 (Fig. 3). The proportions of total variance attributed to phylogeny and species, respectively, were consistent between full and reduced models for both *MCMCglmm* and *brms* (Table 2, Fig. 3). For the reduced *brms* models shown in Fig. 3, phylogenetic signal in overall infection was 0.17 [95% CI 0.06–0.33]. Phylogenetic signal was lower for *Haemoproteus* (0.13; [95% CI 0.03–0.28]) and *Leucocytozoon* (0.12; [0.03–0.3]), and highest for *Plasmodium* (0.35; [0.11–0.61]). For *Haemoproteus* and *Leucocytozoon*, the variance attributed to host species was larger than the variance attributed to phylogeny (Fig. 3). Our phylogenetic signal estimates were consistent under alternative, plausible phylogenetic hypotheses, indicating that the results are robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (Fig. S6).

Parasite diversity and infection status

Of the 367 infected species, 112 included five or more sequenced infections (mean = 11, max = 49 infections). Within host species, rarefied haplotype diversity ranged from one to five (mean = 3.98, SD = 0.93). Model results indicated that parasite diversity was not an important predictor of overall infection status (Fig. S7).

DISCUSSION

Variation in susceptibility among host species is a common feature of multi-host, multi-parasite systems, but the importance of phylogeny, while taking other predictors into account, has rarely been addressed. We found that host phylogeny explains substantial variation in haemosporidian infection status, indicating that susceptibility is conserved on the timescale of avian diversification. Our statistical approach accounted for a suite of life history, behavioural, temporal and environmental effects that are demonstrated drivers of infection. The results were consistent between two Bayesian modelling methods and with reduced sets of predictors. Phylogenetic and species effects were important for all parasite genera, but differed in magnitude of effect. Phylogenetically conserved susceptibility should affect many aspects of the evolutionary dynamics of multi-host, multi-parasite systems, including biogeography, ecoclimatic niches, diversification rates and host-switching patterns.

Phylogenetic conservation of susceptibility was evident at remarkably deep levels within the avian tree. Most notably,




Table 1 Comparison of *brms* models with species, phylogenetic (phylo), both or no random effects. Model fit was assessed using the widely applicable information criterion (WAIC) and leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOIC). WAIC results were consistent with LOOIC. The difference between each model and the best fit model (lowest LOOIC) is shown as Δ LOOIC with the standard error (SE). Reduced models include the set of predictors that were considered important in the full models (95% CI non-overlapping with 0) for each response, that is, a different set of predictors is included in each of the reduced models. For all responses, models including species and phylogenetic random effects fit substantially better than models without random effects

Model description					Response			
Predictors			Random effects		Overall infected Δ LOOIC (SE)	<i>Haemoproteus</i> Δ LOOIC (SE)	<i>Plasmodium</i> Δ LOOIC (SE)	<i>Leucocytozoon</i> Δ LOOIC (SE)
None	All	Reduced	Species	Phylo				
X					521.3 (45.3)	507.9 (46.4)	252.2 (34.6)	454.3 (41.3)
		X			434.8 (41.1)	374.5 (38.4)	168.4 (27.5)	240.5 (31.7)
	X				335.3 (37)	309.1 (37)	155.4 (26.1)	170.6 (29.8)
		X	X		36.1 (12.1)	10.3 (7.1)	19.5 (13.7)	7.8 (7.2)
	X		X		33.5 (12.3)	8.9 (10.9)	33.2 (14.6)	6.9 (12.3)
X			X	X	22 (12.6)	14.3 (10.1)	28.6 (14.2)	89.9 (18.6)
	X			X	22 (12.7)	32.5 (15.2)	13 (8.8)	24.3 (15.1)
		X		X	15.2 (10.2)	32.4 (11.7)	0	20.3 (9.7)
	X		X	X	10.2 (7.7)	6.4 (9.6)	18.4 (9.7)	2.9 (11.3)
		X	X	X	0	0	2.5 (4.9)	0

oscine songbirds exhibited substantially higher infection prevalence than their sister clade, the suboscines (Figs 1 and 2). These two clades account for most of the diversity on the 'bird continent', and are known to differ in fundamental ways, including sound production mechanisms, song learning, pigmentation and metabolic rate (Kroodsma 1983; Swanson & Bozinovic 2011). This study confirms that they also differ with respect to susceptibility to haemosporidian parasites, with suboscines being consistently less infected. Lower prevalence in suboscines has been pointed out previously (Ricklefs 1992), and is now confirmed with appropriate phylogenetic models.

Some environmental characteristics clearly influence infection status, while others do not. For example, overall infection tended to increase with increasing temperature and aridity, and *Leucocytozoon* infection increased substantially at higher elevations. This finding is consistent with previous studies demonstrating different elevational patterns of infection among haemosporidian genera, possibly caused by elevational variation in vector abundance and exposure rate (van Rooyen *et al.* 2013; González *et al.* 2014; Harrigan *et al.* 2014).

Life history and ecological factors also explain some variation in infection among species. For example, *Haemoproteus* infection was higher for species with open nests compared to closed nests, and *Leucocytozoon* infection was higher for species with midstorey nest heights compared to ground nesters. The results from previous studies that have addressed these factors were mixed (Svensson-Coelho *et al.* 2013; Lutz *et al.* 2015; Fecchio *et al.* 2017a), with effects typically attributed to vector ecology. Vector feeding preferences for certain host species affects susceptibility, at least within depauperate communities (Medeiros *et al.* 2015); nevertheless, compatibility of haemosporidian parasites with host species immune systems remains an overarching determinant of host susceptibility (Medeiros *et al.* 2013).

Phylogenetic variation in susceptibility rises above the variation explained by environmental and species traits, including a suite of traits that should explain variation in exposure. This

is remarkable for at least two reasons. First, an evolutionarily labile feature such as an ecological interaction that fluctuates in real time would not be expected to remain predictable on the basis of distant phylogenetic affinities. Second, several of the environmental and life history traits that explain some variation in susceptibility are themselves subject to phylogenetic signal; it is striking that there is additional phylogenetic signal even after these conserved predictors are included in the models. The conserved evolution of infection status is a distinct and interesting aspect of phylogenetic niche conservatism (Wiens *et al.* 2010), wherein ecological interactions are sustained long term during divergence of related lineages.

The causes of deep phylogenetic conservation of susceptibility are most likely molecular genetic aspects of the innate immune system that are also phylogenetically conserved, with specificity that is lost gradually over evolutionary time (Schulze-Lefert & Panstruga 2011). Many innate immune factors are deeply conserved and subject to strong purifying selection (Malo *et al.* 1994; Hückelhoven *et al.* 2013), but disease resistance can also evolve through single substitutions in these factors, often accompanied by negative pleiotropy (Aidoo *et al.* 2002; Carter & Nguyen 2011). The latter mechanism could explain the species random effects demonstrated by our analyses. The basis of species-specificity in malaria parasites can be as simple as a single, large effect mutation, such as the single change to the CMAH gene in the ancestor of *Homo sapiens* that became the basis for host specificity in *Plasmodium falciparum* and related *P. reichenowi* in chimps (Martin *et al.* 2005).

The closest precedent for our finding is that of Longdon *et al.* (2011), who found phylogenetic signal in viral susceptibility among *Drosophila* species that were experimentally infected with sigma viruses. In that case, susceptibility of host species to each of the three sigma viruses tested was correlated, indicating that it resulted from variation in the generalised immune response. In our study, prevalence for the three haemosporidian genera within host families and host species was largely uncorrelated (Fig. S8). This lack of correlation




Figure 3 Posterior mean estimates and 95% credible intervals of predictors and random effects on infection status for reduced *brms* models. Parameters with intervals that do not overlap zero are considered to have a significant influence on the response. Intercepts were removed for visualisation and are shown in Fig. S4. For continuous variables, both among-species and within-species effects are shown. For categorical variables, the effects shown are relative to the reference categories: Sex (*female*), Nest type (*closed*), Abundance (*common*), Nest height (*ground*) and Colonial (*no*). F = Fairly common, U = Uncommon/rare, H = high, L = low. Panels to the right depict the proportion of total variance attributed to species (grey) or phylogeny (blue). Results are consistent across full and reduced models for both *MCMCglmm* and *brms*. The proportion of variance attributed to phylogeny is the phylogenetic signal, which was significant for all models based on the ‘hypothesis’ test in *brms*.

Table 2 Phylogenetic signal estimates from *brms* and *MCMCglmm* full and reduced models. Means and 95% credible intervals for phylogenetic signal or lambda (λ), estimated from *brms* and *MCMCglmm* for full and reduced models. λ is the proportion of total variance attributed to phylogenetic variance

Response	<i>brms</i>		<i>MCMCglmm</i>	
	Full	Reduced	Full	Reduced
Overall infected	0.20 (0.07–0.38)	0.17 (0.06–0.33)	0.20 (0.06–0.35)	0.17 (0.04–0.30)
<i>Haemoproteus</i>	0.13 (0.02–0.32)	0.13 (0.03–0.28)	0.09 (0.00–0.23)	0.11 (0.02–0.24)
<i>Plasmodium</i>	0.36 (0.11–0.63)	0.35 (0.11–0.61)	0.36 (0.09–0.60)	0.36 (0.08–0.61)
<i>Leucocytozoon</i>	0.13 (0.03–0.32)	0.12 (0.03–0.30)	0.10 (0.01–0.22)	0.08 (0.00–0.19)

may be a result of specialised ecoclimatic niches of haemosporidian genera and host lineages, respectively, causing general susceptibility to manifest differently in different environments. We found that phylogenetic signal in susceptibility was strongest in *Plasmodium*, the most host-generalised of the three genera (Valkiūnas 2005). Accordingly, we suggest that our results, like those of Longdon *et al.* (2011), are consistent with variation in the generalised immune response.

The success of a parasite depends on the interaction between host resistance traits and parasite counter-adaptations. Classical defence theory holds that faster growth of the host will confer lower resistance to parasites (García-Guzmán & Heil 2014). Increased resistance could thus be explained by slower host-development time, as has been suggested in grass-virus (Cronin *et al.* 2014), amphibian-trematode (Johnson *et al.* 2012) and bird-haemosporidian systems (Ricklefs 1992;

Ricklefs *et al.* 2018). Variation in host-development rate could be a latent variable that contributed to the phylogenetic signal in susceptibility that we observed. In addition to development rate data, which are not available for most of the host species in our study, specific data on infection intensity and the outcomes of host-parasite interactions are needed to further elucidate the mechanisms that underpin conserved susceptibility. For example, host species within a community can exhibit similar prevalence, yet vary dramatically in parasite defence strategies (resistance vs. tolerance), and infection outcomes (virulence and parasite productivity; Manzoli *et al.* 2018).

If conserved host ranges are a general tendency of parasite clades (Gilbert & Webb 2007; Davies & Pedersen 2008; de Vienne *et al.* 2009; Russell *et al.* 2009; Longdon *et al.* 2011), it could cause related host species to harbour similar parasite communities. Such a process could plausibly lead to phylogenetic signal in susceptibility in three ways. First, if certain host clades diversified more rapidly, species within those clades may receive host switches at higher frequency and exhibit higher susceptibility. Indeed, Engelstädter & Fortuna (2018) predicted higher prevalence in faster diversifying clades as a consequence of host-shifts tending to be among close relatives. We found no clear evidence of this pattern in our data; host family level diversity, whether global or regional, was not linked to prevalence (Fig. S9). Secondly, the phylogenetic host-range effect could result in a conserved pattern of susceptibility if particular host clades have higher diversity of compatible parasites than others. In this case, one prediction is that host species within clades that have higher parasite diversity would have higher susceptibility; but we found no evidence for an effect of parasite diversity on infection status (Fig. S7). Third, abundance of close relatives in a host community may inflate prevalence (Parker *et al.* 2015; Ellis *et al.* 2017) in a way that is conserved across the host phylogeny; however, the lack of a relationship between susceptibility and species diversity of host families in the Peru avifauna suggests this cannot explain our results (Fig. S9). In these ways, our data suggest that phylogenetic signal in susceptibility is not explained by conserved host ranges in this system. This is consistent with the observation that the community of haemosporidian lineages in any given host species or family tends to be drawn from across the haemosporidian phylogeny (Fig. 1b), and generalist parasites with eclectic host ranges are frequent (Hellgren *et al.* 2009; Loiseau *et al.* 2012; Svensson-Coelho *et al.* 2013).

Phylogenetic conservatism of species interactions could have implications for evolutionary fates and net diversification rates of clades. The results of this study underscore how deep evolutionary history is relevant to real-time ecological interactions, suggesting there may be constraints on immune system innovation that cause long-term conservation of susceptibility. However, the implications for diversification are not simple; we found no link between prevalence and host-clade size at the family level (Fig. S9). Phylogenetic variation in susceptibility implies that changes in disease pressure are likely to affect the phylogenetic structure of communities (and vice versa) and could potentially maintain phylogenetic alpha- and beta-diversity (Barrett *et al.* 2009). Alpha-diversity could be enhanced via Janzen–Connell type dynamics (Terborgh 2012; Gilbert & Parker 2016), in which density of conspecific or

related hosts is regulated by shared susceptibility to a parasite. Beta-diversity (and alpha-diversity) could be enhanced by ‘apparent competition’ (Holt 1977; Ricklefs 2010), in which species are differentially susceptible to a shared generalist parasite. The fact that some variation in susceptibility is conserved on a scale of tens of millions of years suggests that these same ecological mechanisms maintain deep phylogenetic diversity of hosts, a long-celebrated characteristic of the South American avifauna.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank John M. Bates, Shannon Hackett, Emil Bautista, Shane G. DuBay, Ariel M. Gaffney, C. Jonathan Schmitt, Andrew B. Johnson, Laura Pages Barcelo and Ben Winger. This work was supported in part by NSF DEB-1146491, NSF DEB-1503804, NSF PRFB-1611710, a CETI seed grant (NCRR-NIH P20RR018754), the Davee Foundation, the Faulk Medical Research Trust and the Pritzker DNA Laboratory.

AUTHORSHIP

LNB, SMM, NM and CCW designed the study; SMM, SCG, HLL, HS, TV, JDW and CCW collected the data; LNB and NM analysed the data; LNB, NM and CCW wrote the paper with input from all authors.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT

Specimen information is available from the Arctos database (arctosdb.org) and in supplementary tables (Appendix S1). Files used for analysis are available from Figshare Repository: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7806635>.

REFERENCES

- Aidoo, M., Terlouw, D.J., Kolczak, M.S., McElroy, P.D., ter Kuile, F.O. & Kariuki, S., *et al.* (2002). Protective effects of the sickle cell gene against malaria morbidity and mortality. *Lancet*, 359, 1311–1312.
- Anderson, R.C. (2000). *Nematode Parasites of Vertebrates. Their Development and Transmission*, 2nd edn. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, Oxon, UK.
- Arriero, E. & Møller, A.P. (2008). Host ecology and life-history traits associated with blood parasite species richness in birds. *J. Evol. Biol.*, 21, 1504–1513.
- Atkinson, C.T., Saili, K.S., Utzurrum, R.B. & Jarvi, S.I. (2013). Experimental evidence for evolved tolerance to avian malaria in a wild population of low elevation Hawai'i 'Amakihi (*Hemignathus virens*). *EcoHealth*, 10, 366–375.
- Barrett, L.G., Kniskern, J.M., Bodenhausen, N., Zhang, W. & Bergelson, J. (2009). Continuum of specificity and virulence in plant host-pathogen interactions: causes and consequences. *New Phytol.*, 183, 513–529.
- Beadell, J.S., Covas, R., Gebhard, C., Ishtiaq, F., Melo, M. & Schmidt, B.K., *et al.* (2009). Host associations and evolutionary relationships of avian blood parasites from West Africa. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 39, 257–266.
- Bennett, G.F. & Cameron, M. (1974). Seasonal prevalence of avian hematozoa in passeriform birds of Atlantic Canada. *Can. J. Zool.*, 52, 1259–1264.
- Bensch, S., Hellgren, O. & Pérez-Tris, J. (2009). MalAvi: a public database of malaria parasites and related haemosporidians in avian hosts based on mitochondrial cytochrome b lineages. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 9, 1353–1358.

- Blomberg, S.P., Garland, T. & Ives, A.R. (2003). Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution (N. Y.)*, 57, 717.
- Bradley, D.J., Gilbert, G.S. & Martiny, J.B.H. (2008). Pathogens promote plant diversity through a compensatory response. *Ecol. Lett.*, 11, 461–469.
- Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J. Stat. Softw.*, 80, 1–28.
- Carter, A.J. & Nguyen, A.Q. (2011). Antagonistic pleiotropy as a widespread mechanism for the maintenance of polymorphic disease alleles. *BMC Med. Genet.*, 12, 160.
- Cronin, J.P., Rúa, M.A. & Mitchell, C.E. (2014). Why is living fast dangerous? Disentangling the roles of resistance and tolerance of disease. *Am. Nat.*, 184, 172–187.
- Davies, T.J. & Pedersen, A.B. (2008). Phylogeny and geography predict pathogen community similarity in wild primates and humans. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 275, 1695–1701.
- Decaestecker, E., Gaba, S., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Stoks, R., Van Kerckhoven, L. & Ebert, D., *et al.* (2007). Host-parasite 'Red Queen' dynamics archived in pond sediment. *Nature*, 450, 870–873.
- Doña, J., Proctor, H., Mironov, S., Serrano, D. & Jovani, R. (2018). Host specificity, infrequent major host switching and the diversification of highly host-specific symbionts: the case of vane-dwelling feather mites. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*, 27, 188–198.
- Ellis, V.A., Medeiros, M.C.I., Collins, M.D., Sari, E.H.R., Coffey, E.D. & Dickerson, R.C., *et al.* (2017). Prevalence of avian haemosporidian parasites is positively related to the abundance of host species at multiple sites within a region. *Parasitol. Res.*, 116, 73–80.
- Ellison, A.R., Tunstall, T., Drenzo, G.V., Hughey, M.C., Rebollar, E.A. & Belden, L.K., *et al.* (2015). More than skin deep: functional genomic basis for resistance to amphibian chytridiomycosis. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, 7, 286–298.
- Engelstädter, J. & Fortuna, N.Z. (2018). The dynamics of preferential host switching: host phylogeny as a key predictor of parasite prevalence and distribution. *bioRxiv*, 209254.
- Fallon, S.M., Bermingham, E. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2003). Island and taxon effects in parasitism revisited: avian malaria in the Lesser Antilles. *Evolution (N. Y.)*, 57, 606–615.
- Fallon, S.M., Ricklefs, R.E., Latta, S.C. & Bermingham, E. (2004). Temporal stability of insular avian malarial parasite communities. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 271, 493–500.
- Fallon, S.M., Bermingham, E. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2005). Host specialization and geographic localization of avian malaria parasites: a regional analysis in the Lesser Antilles. *Am. Nat.*, 165, 466–480.
- Fecchio, A., Ellis, V.A., Bell, J.A., Andretti, C.B., D'Horta, F.M. & Silva, A.M., *et al.* (2017a). Avian malaria, ecological host traits and mosquito abundance in southeastern Amazonia. *Parasitology*, 144, 1117–1132.
- Fecchio, A., Pinheiro, R., Felix, G., Faria, I.P., Pinho, J.B. & Lacorte, G.A., *et al.* (2017b). Host community similarity and geography shape the diversity and distribution of haemosporidian parasites in Amazonian birds. *Ecography (Cop.)*, 41, 505–515.
- Fecchio, A., Bell, J.A., Collins, M.D., Farias, I.P., Trisos, C.H. & Tobias, J.A., *et al.* (2018). Diversification by host switching and dispersal shaped the diversity and distribution of avian malaria parasites in Amazonia. *Oikos*, 127, 1233–1242.
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Phylogenies and the comparative method. *Am. Nat.*, 125, 1–15.
- Galen, S.C. & Witt, C.C. (2014). Diverse avian malaria and other haemosporidian parasites in Andean house wrens: evidence for regional co-diversification by host-switching. *J. Avian Biol.*, 45, 374–386.
- Galen, S.C., Borner, J., Martinsen, E.S., Schaer, J., Austin, C.C. & West, C.J., *et al.* (2018). The polyphyly of *Plasmodium*: comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of the malaria parasites (order Haemosporida) reveal widespread taxonomic conflict. *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 5, 171780.
- Garamszegi, L.Z. & Møller, A.P. (2012). The interspecific relationship between prevalence of blood parasites and sexual traits in birds when considering recent methodological advancements. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 66, 107–119.
- García-Guzmán, G. & Heil, M. (2014). Life histories of hosts and pathogens predict patterns in tropical fungal plant diseases. *New Phytol.*, 201, 1106–1120.
- Garvin, M.C. & Remsen, J.V. (1997). An alternative hypothesis for heavier parasite loads of brightly colored birds: exposure at the nest. *Auk*, 114, 179–191.
- Gaunt, R.E. (1995). The relationship between plant disease severity and yield. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 33, 119–144.
- Gilbert, G.S. & Parker, I.M. (2016). The evolutionary ecology of plant disease: a phylogenetic perspective. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 54, 549–578.
- Gilbert, G.S. & Webb, C.O. (2007). Phylogenetic signal in plant pathogen-host range. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 104, 4979–4983.
- González, A.D., Matta, N.E., Ellis, V.A., Miller, E.T., Ricklefs, R.E. & Gutiérrez, H.R. (2014). Mixed species flock, nest height, and elevation partially explain avian haemoparasite prevalence in Colombia. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e100695.
- Greenberg, D.A., Palen, W.J. & Mooers, A.O. (2017). Amphibian species' traits, evolutionary history, and environment predict *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection patterns, but not extinction risk. *Evol. Appl.*, 10, 1130–1145.
- Hackett, S.J., Kimball, R.T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R.C.K., Braun, E.L. & Braun, M.J., *et al.* (2008). A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. *Science*, 320, 1763–1768.
- Hadfield, J.D. (2010). MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed models: the MCMCglmm R package. *J. Stat. Softw.*, 33, 1–22.
- Hadfield, J.D. & Nakagawa, S. (2010). General quantitative genetic methods for comparative biology: phylogenies, taxonomies and multi-trait models for continuous and categorical characters. *J. Evol. Biol.*, 23, 494–508.
- Harrigan, R.J., Sedano, R., Chasar, A.C., Chaves, J.A., Nguyen, J.T. & Whitaker, A., *et al.* (2014). New host and lineage diversity of avian haemosporidia in the northern Andes. *Evol. Appl.*, 7, 799–811.
- Hatcher, M.J., Dick, J.T.A. & Dunn, A.M. (2006). How parasites affect interactions between competitors and predators. *Ecol. Lett.*, 9, 1253–1271.
- Hellgren, O., Waldenström, J. & Bensch, S. (2004). A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of *Leucocytozoon*, *Plasmodium*, and *Haemoproteus* from avian blood. *J. Parasitol.*, 90, 797–802.
- Hellgren, O., Pérez-Tris, J. & Bensch, S. (2009). A jack-of-all-trades and still a master of some: prevalence and host range in avian malaria and related blood parasites. *Ecology*, 90, 2840–2849.
- Hijmans, R.J. (2016). raster: geographic data analysis and modeling. R package version 2.5-8. Available at: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster>. Last accessed 25 June 2018.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G. & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 25, 1965–1978.
- Holt, R.D. (1977). Predation, apparent competition, and the structure of prey communities. *Theor. Popul. Biol.*, 12, 197–229.
- Holt, R.D. & Bonsall, M.B. (2017). Apparent competition. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 48, 447–471.
- del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A. & de Juana, E. (2018). *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona. Available at: <http://www.hbw.com/>. Last accessed 12 February 2018.
- Hückelhoven, R., Eichmann, R., Weis, C., Hoefle, C. & Proels, R.K. (2013). Genetic loss of susceptibility: a costly route to disease resistance? *Plant. Pathol.*, 62, 56–62.
- Jetz, W., Thomas, G.H., Joy, J.B., Hartmann, K. & Mooers, A.O. (2012). The global diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature*, 491, 444–448.
- Johnson, P.T.J., Rohr, J.R., Hoverman, J.T., Kellermanns, E., Bowerman, J. & Lunde, K.B. (2012). Living fast and dying of infection: host life history drives interspecific variation in infection and disease risk. *Ecol. Lett.*, 15, 235–242.
- Jovani, R. & Tella, J.L. (2006). Parasite prevalence and sample size: misconceptions and solutions. *Trends Parasitol.*, 22, 214–218.

- Kroodsma, D.E. (1983). The ecology of avian vocal learning. *Bioscience*, 33, 165–171.
- Loiseau, C., Harrigan, R.J., Robert, A., Bowie, R.C.K., Thomassen, H.A. & Smith, T.B., *et al.* (2012). Host and habitat specialization of avian malaria in Africa. *Mol. Ecol.*, 21, 431–441.
- Longdon, B., Hadfield, J.D., Webster, C.L., Obbard, D.J. & Jiggins, F.M. (2011). Host phylogeny determines viral persistence and replication in novel hosts. *PLoS Pathog.*, 7, e1002260.
- Lutz, H.L., Hochachka, W.M., Engel, J.I., Bell, J.A., Tkach, V.V. & Bates, J.M., *et al.* (2015). Parasite prevalence corresponds to host life history in a diverse assemblage of afrotropical birds and haemosporidian parasites. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0121254.
- Lynch, M. (1991). Methods for the analysis of comparative data in evolutionary biology. *Evolution (N. Y.)*, 45, 1065–1080.
- Mai, Y. & Zhang, Z. (2018). Software packages for Bayesian multilevel modeling. *Struct. Equ. Model.*, 25, 650–658.
- Malo, D., Vogan, K., Vidal, S., Hu, J., Cellier, M. & Schurr, E., *et al.* (1994). Haplotype mapping and sequence analysis of the mouse Nrmp gene predict susceptibility to infection with intracellular parasites. *Genomics*, 23, 51–61.
- Manzoli, D.E., Saravia-Pietropaolo, M.J., Antoniazzi, L.R., Barengo, E., Arce, S.I. & Quiroga, M.A., *et al.* (2018). Contrasting consequences of different defence strategies in a natural multihost–parasite system. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 48, 445–455.
- Martin, M.J., Rayner, J.C., Gagneux, P., Barnwell, J.W. & Varki, A. (2005). Evolution of human-chimpanzee differences in malaria susceptibility: relationship to human genetic loss of N-glycolylneuraminic acid. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 102, 12819–12824.
- Masello, J.F., Martínez, J., Calderón, L., Wink, M., Quillfeldt, P. & Sanz, V., *et al.* (2018). Can the intake of antiparasitic secondary metabolites explain the low prevalence of hemoparasites among wild Psittaciformes? *Parasit Vectors*, 11, 357.
- Medeiros, M.C.I., Hamer, G.L. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2013). Host compatibility rather than vector – host-encounter rate determines the host range of avian *Plasmodium* parasites. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 280, 20122947.
- Medeiros, M.C.I., Ricklefs, R.E., Brawn, J.D. & Hamer, G.L. (2015). *Plasmodium* prevalence across avian host species is positively associated with exposure to mosquito vectors. *Parasitology*, 142, 1612–1620.
- Merilä, J., Björklund, M. & Bennett, G.F. (1995). Geographic and individual variation in haematozoan infections in the greenfinch, *Carduelis chloris*. *Can. J. Zool.*, 73, 1798–1804.
- Parker, T.A.I., Stotz, D.F. & Fitzpatrick, J.W. (1996). Ecological and distributional databases. In: *Neotropical Birds: Ecology and Conservation* (eds Stotz, D.F., Fitzpatrick, J.W., Parker, T.A.I. & Moskovits, D.K.). University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, pp. 113–436.
- Parker, I.M., Saunders, M., Bontrager, M., Weitz, A.P., Hendricks, R. & Magarey, R., *et al.* (2015). Phylogenetic structure and host abundance drive disease pressure in communities. *Nature*, 520, 542–544.
- Power, A.G. & Mitchell, C.E. (2004). Pathogen spillover in disease epidemics. *Am. Nat.*, 164, S79–S89.
- Pulgarín-R, P.C., Gómez, J.P., Robinson, S., Ricklefs, R.E. & Cadena, C.D. (2018). Host species, and not environment, predicts variation in blood parasite prevalence, distribution, and diversity along a humidity gradient in northern South America. *Ecol. Evol.*, 8, 3800–3814.
- Revell, L.J. (2012). phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 3, 217–223.
- Ricklefs, R.E. (1992). Embryonic development period and the prevalence of avian blood parasites. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 89, 4722–4725.
- Ricklefs, R.E. (2010). Host-pathogen coevolution, secondary sympatry and species diversification. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, 365, 1139–1147.
- Ricklefs, R.E., Fallon, S.M. & Bermingham, E. (2004). Evolutionary relationships, cospeciation, and host switching in avian malaria parasites. *Syst. Biol.*, 53, 111–119.
- Ricklefs, R.E., Dodge Gray, J., Latta, S.C. & Svensson-Coelho, M. (2011). Distribution anomalies in avian haemosporidian parasites in the southern Lesser Antilles. *J. Avian Biol.*, 42, 570–584.
- Ricklefs, R.E., Outlaw, D.C., Svensson-Coelho, M., Medeiros, M.C.I., Ellis, V.A. & Latta, S. (2014). Species formation by host shifting in avian malaria parasites. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 111, 14816–14821.
- Ricklefs, R.E., Ellis, V.A., Medeiros, M.C. & Svensson-Coelho, M. (2018). Duration of embryo development and the prevalence of haematozoan blood parasites in birds. *Auk*, 135, 276–283.
- van Riper, C., van Riper, S.G., Goff, M.L. & Laird, M. (1986). The epizootiology and ecological significance of malaria in Hawaiian land birds. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 56, 327–344.
- van Rooyen, J., Lalubin, F., Glaizot, O., Christe, P., van Rooyen, J. & Lalubin, F., *et al.* (2013). Altitudinal variation in haemosporidian parasite distribution in great tit populations. *Parasit Vectors*, 6, 139.
- Russell, J.A., Goldman-Huertas, B., Moreau, C.S., Baldo, L., Stahlhut, J.K. & Werren, J.H., *et al.* (2009). Specialization and geographic isolation among *Wolbachia* symbionts from ants and lycaenid butterflies. *Evolution (N. Y.)*, 63, 624–640.
- Savage, A.E., Sredl, M.J. & Zamudio, K.R. (2011). Disease dynamics vary spatially and temporally in a North American amphibian. *Biol. Conserv.*, 144, 1910–1915.
- Scheuerlein, A. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2004). Prevalence of blood parasites in European passeriform birds. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 271, 1363–1370.
- Schreeg, L.A., Kress, W.J., Erickson, D.L. & Swenson, N.G. (2010). Phylogenetic analysis of local-scale tree soil associations in a lowland moist tropical forest. *PLoS ONE*, 5, e13685.
- Schulze-Lefert, P. & Panstruga, R. (2011). A molecular evolutionary concept connecting nonhost resistance, pathogen host range, and pathogen speciation. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 16, 117–125.
- Searle, C.L., Gervasi, S.S., Hua, J., Hammond, J.I., Relyea, R.A. & Olson, D.H., *et al.* (2011). Differential host susceptibility to *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, an emerging amphibian pathogen. *Conserv. Biol.*, 25, 965–974.
- Soares, L., Latta, S.C. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2017). Dynamics of avian haemosporidian assemblages through millennial time scales inferred from insular biotas of the West Indies. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 114, 6635–6640.
- Suh, A., Witt, C.C., Menger, J., Sadanandan, K.R., Podsiadlowski, L. & Gerth, M., *et al.* (2016). Ancient horizontal transfers of retrotransposons between birds and ancestors of human pathogenic nematodes. *Nat. Commun.*, 7, 11396.
- Svensson-Coelho, M., Blake, J.G., Loisele, B.A., Penrose, A.S., Parker, P.G. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2013). Diversity, prevalence, and host specificity of avian *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* in a Western Amazon assemblage. *Ornithol. Monogr.*, 76, 1–47.
- Swanson, D.L. & Bozinovic, F. (2011). Metabolic capacity and the evolution of biogeographic patterns in oscine and suboscine passerine birds. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.*, 84, 185–194.
- Terborgh, J. (2012). Enemies maintain hyperdiverse tropical forests. *Am. Nat.*, 179, 303–314.
- Valkiūnas, G. (2005). *Avian Malaria Parasites and Other Haemosporidia*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Vehtari, A., Gelman, A. & Gabry, J. (2017). Practical Bayesian model evaluation using leave-one-out cross-validation and WAIC. *Stat. Comput.*, 27, 1413–1432.
- de Vienne, D.M., Hood, M.E. & Giraud, T. (2009). Phylogenetic determinants of potential host shifts in fungal pathogens. *J. Evol. Biol.*, 22, 2532–2541.
- de Villemereuil, P. & Nakagawa, S. (2014). General quantitative genetic methods for comparative biology. In: *Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology* (ed. Garamszegi, L.Z.). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 287–303.
- Waldenström, J., Bensch, S., Hasselquist, D. & Östman, Ö. (2004). A new nested polymerase chain reaction method very efficient in detecting

- Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* infections from avian blood. *J. Parasitol.*, 90, 191–194.
- Watanabe, S. (2010). Asymptotic equivalence of Bayes cross validation and widely applicable information criterion in singular learning theory. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 11, 3571–3594.
- Waxman, D., Weinert, L.A. & Welch, J.J. (2014). Inferring host range dynamics from comparative data: the protozoan parasites of New World monkeys. *Am. Nat.*, 184, 65–74.
- Wiens, J.J., Ackerly, D.D., Allen, A.P., Anacker, B.L., Buckley, L.B. & Cornell, H.V., *et al.* (2010). Niche conservatism as an emerging principle in ecology and conservation biology. *Ecol. Lett.*, 13, 1310–1324.
- Woodworth, B.L., Atkinson, C.T., LaPointe, D.A., Hart, P.J., Spiegel, C.S. & Tweed, E.J., *et al.* (2005). Host population persistence in the face of introduced vector-borne diseases: Hawaii amakihi and avian malaria. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 102, 1531–1536.
- Zhang, C., Yang, J., Sha, L., Ci, X., Li, J. & Cao, M., *et al.* (2017). Lack of phylogenetic signals within environmental niches of tropical tree species across life stages. *Sci. Rep.*, 7, 42007.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Editor, Gabriele Sorci

Manuscript received 26 September 2018

First decision made 24 January 2019

Manuscript accepted 20 February 2019